UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,287	03/01/2005	Gregg D. Wilensky	07844-558US1/P513	6269
21876 FISH & RICHA	7590 07/28/201 ¹ ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1022	C MINI 55440 1022	BROMELL, ALEXANDRIA Y		
MIINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2167		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/526,287	WILENSKY, GREGG D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL			

	ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL	2167	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 July 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tened statutory period for reply original contened statutory period for reply original contents.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•	E below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	octed claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	otod oldiirio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	,	•	J
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>60-80</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	otice of Anneal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allower	oo booguso:
See Continuation Sheet.	•	CONDITION ANDWAR	ce pecause.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Alexandria Y Bromell/	/Cam Y Truong/		
Examiner, Art Unit: 2167	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2169	
July 22, 2010	•		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that the instant claims calculates certain image parameters for each of multiple images, whereas Matkovic calculates feweer than all of those image parameters for only a single image (remarks, page 7).

Examiner respectfully responds that the query image is made up of descriptors, or pieces of images to make one image, Maltkovic, page 117, section 3: Main Idea. Additionally, Malkovic's image may be made up of other images; a sketch may be loaded into the system to combine images, Malkovic, page 120, section 4: Algorithm Summary.

Applicant argues that Matkovic's target images do not disclose reference images as disclosed in the instant claims (remarks, page 8).

Examiner respectfully responds that Malkovic's composite image may be made up of other images; a sketch may be loaded into the system to combine images from the collection, Malkovic, page 120, section 4: Algorithm Summary.

Applicant argues that Matkovic does not calculate image parameters for the guery image as disclosed in instant claim 60.

Examiner respectfully responds that Malkovic teaches calculating parameters that characterize color (page 117, 3: Main Idea, lines 5 – 11). Examiner asserts that Malkovic also teaches texture (see page 117, 3.1.1, Descriptor Generation, where an image is described using size and color depth, which is equivalent to texture), and that Malkovic teaches shape features that are common to multiple references (see page 117, 3: Main Idea, lines 15 – 27, where shapes are used for comparison).